ReviewerID	Title of the paper	Abstract	Keywords	Introduction	Literature study	Aims & objec	Depth & comp	Research hypothe	Research methods	Result validatio	Conclusions	Figures	English	Similar publicat	Neglected diffic
623	7	4	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	7	9	4	6	7

Summary of review

The paper (with its 17 pages) is too long for a conference paper. I propose to shorten the introduction of the theoretical model (Sections 1 to 3), as well as Section 6 (Realization of APP). Sections 4.1 and 4.2 could also be tightened.
The paper's English has to be improved.

ReviewerID	Title of the paper	Abstract	Keywords	Introduction	Literature study	Aims & objec	Depth & comp	Research hypothe	Research methods	Result validatio	Conclusions	Figures	English	Similar publicat	Neglected diffic
560	8	5	9	8	7	8	9	7	8	7	7	8	4	8	9

Summary of review

This paper needs a moderate elaboration.

ReviewerID	Title of the paper	Abstract	Keywords	Introduction	Literature study	Aims & objec	Depth & comp	Research hypothe	Research methods	Result validatio	Conclusions	Figures	English	Similar publicat	Neglected diffic
309	10	9	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	6	9	10

Summary of review

The paper is a very valuable contribution to the area of the adaptive tool path generation of CNC turning. The acceptance for publication after grammar and style corrections is highly suggested.